Received 22 July 2004 Accepted 28 July 2004 Online 7 August 2004

Acta Crystallographica Section E **Structure Reports** Online

ISSN 1600-5368

## Li-Hua Huo, Shan Gao,\* Zhen-Zhong Lu, Shi-Xiao Xu and Hui Zhao

College of Chemistry and Chemical Technology, Heilongjiang University, Harbin 150080, People's Republic of China

Correspondence e-mail: shangao67@yahoo.com

#### **Key indicators**

Single-crystal X-ray study T = 293 K Mean  $\sigma$ (C–C) = 0.005 Å R factor = 0.056 wR factor = 0.159 Data-to-parameter ratio = 16.1

For details of how these key indicators were automatically derived from the article, see http://journals.iucr.org/e.

© 2004 International Union of Crystallography Printed in Great Britain - all rights reserved

# Tris(ethylenediamine- $\kappa^2 N$ , N')nickel(II) naphthalene-2,6-disulfonate monohydrate

The title complex,  $[Ni(C_2H_8N_2)_3](C_{10}H_6O_6S_2)\cdot H_2O$  or  $[Ni(en)_3](2,6-nds) \cdot H_2O$  (en = ethylenediamine and nds = naphthalene-2,6-disulfonate), contains one  $[Ni(en)_3]^{2+}$  cation, one water molecule, and two separate halves of centrosymmetric 2,6-nds<sup>2-</sup> dianions in the asymmetric unit. The anions lie on inversion centres. A three-dimensional open framework is assembled by means of hydrogen-bonding interactions between the anions and the cations, in which there is a channel parallel to the c axis, with one of the 2,6-nds dianions occupying the channel.

## Comment

The construction of inorganic-organic hybrid architectures with zeolite-like molecular-sized channels, assembled by coordinate and hydrogen bonds, has been developed significantly in recent years (Venkataraman et al., 1995). Numerous examples employing ligands containing many kinds of donor groups, especially phosphonate groups, have been reported (Kaschak et al., 1998; Nakano et al., 1998). Sulfonate groups have a strong structural analogy to phosphonate groups; however, hybrid systems employing sulfonates are relatively rare (Shimizu et al., 1999; Côté & Shimizu, 2001). Recently, we obtained the novel hybrid complex, [Ni(en)<sub>3</sub>](2,6-nds)·H<sub>2</sub>O (en = ethylenediamine and nds = naphthalene-2,6-disulfonate), (I), by the reaction of sodium naphthalene-2,6disulfonate, ethylenediamine and nickel(II) nitrate hexahydrate. The structure of (I) is reported here.



As shown in Fig. 1, the asymmetric unit of (I) consists of an  $[Ni(en)_3]^{2+}$  cation, halves of two separate centrosymmetric 2,6nds<sup>2-</sup> dianions and an uncoordinated water molecule. Selected bond distances and angles are given in Table 1. In the cation, the Ni<sup>II</sup> ion is N,N'-chelated by three neutral ethylenediamine molecules in a distorted octahedral environment (average Ni-N = 2.114 Å, see Table 1).

The two 2,6-nds ligands are not engaged in coordination owing to their weak donor ability, and have distinct roles in the crystal structure. One of the 2,6-nds<sup>2-</sup> dianions (involving atom S1) forms a three-dimensional hydrogen-bonding network with the  $[Ni(en)_3]^{2+}$  units and the water molecules. These 2,6-nds<sup>2–</sup> dianions and the  $[Ni(en)_3]^{2+}$  cations, as well as the water molecules, form the outer walls of large channels

## metal-organic papers



*ORTEPII* plot (Johnson, 1976) of the asymmetric unit of (I) and the symmetry-generated second half of each anion, with the atom-numbering scheme. Displacement ellipsoids are drawn at the 30% probability level. Dashed lines indicate hydrogen bonds.



Figure 2

The crystal packing of compound (I), with the hydrogen bonds denoted by dashed lines.

parallel to the **c** direction. The other  $2,6\text{-nds}^{2-}$  dianions (involving atom S2) fill these channels as 'guest molecules' and are linked to the 'outer walls' *via* hydrogen bonds (Fig. 2 and Table 2).

Offset face-to-face interactions have also been found between the 'guest molecules' and the 2,6-nds<sup>2–</sup> dianions in the hydrogen-bonding framework, with a centroid–centroid distance of 3.891 (3) Å. This further stabilizes the position of the guest 2,6-nds<sup>2–</sup> dianions in the channels.

The naphthalene ring systems of the dianions are planar, with a small mean deviation of 0.003 Å. The structure of the complex can be described as the alternation of inorganic layers of  $[Ni(en)_3]^{2+}$  cations with organic layers of 2,6-nds dianions along the **b** direction.

## **Experimental**

The title complex was prepared by the addition of  $Ni(NO_3)_2 \cdot 6H_2O$ (20 mmol) and ethylenediamine (20 mmol) to an aqueous solution of sodium naphthalene-2,6-disulfonate (40 mmol) at room temperature. The solution was then filtered and from the filtrate red crystals were obtained after about one month. Elemental analysis calculated for  $\rm C_{16}H_{32}N_6NiO_7S_2:$  C 35.37, H 5.94, N 15.47%; found: C 35.09, H 6.03, N 15.31%.

 $D_{\rm r} = 1.541 {\rm Mg} {\rm m}^{-3}$ 

Cell parameters from 18755

 $0.37 \times 0.26 \times 0.22 \text{ mm}$ 

5320 independent reflections

 $w = 1/[\sigma^2(F_o^2) + (0.0908P)^2]$ 

where  $P = (F_o^2 + 2F_c^2)/3$ 

+ 1.0885P]

 $\Delta \rho_{\rm min} = -0.54 \text{ e } \text{\AA}^{-3}$ 

 $\begin{array}{l} (\Delta/\sigma)_{\rm max} = 0.001 \\ \Delta\rho_{\rm max} = 0.83 \ {\rm e} \ {\rm \AA}^{-3} \end{array}$ 

4195 reflections with  $I > 2\sigma(I)$ 

Mo  $K\alpha$  radiation

reflections

 $\theta = 3.0-27.4^{\circ}$  $\mu = 1.06 \text{ mm}^{-1}$ 

T = 293 (2) K

Prism, red

 $R_{\rm int}=0.066$ 

 $\theta_{\rm max}=27.5^\circ$ 

 $h = -9 \rightarrow 8$  $k = -17 \rightarrow 17$ 

 $l=-30\rightarrow 30$ 

#### Crystal data

$$\begin{split} & [\mathrm{Ni}(\mathrm{C}_{2}\mathrm{H}_{8}\mathrm{N}_{2})_{3}](\mathrm{C}_{10}\mathrm{H}_{6}\mathrm{O}_{6}\mathrm{S}_{2})\cdot\mathrm{H}_{2}\mathrm{O} \\ & M_{r}=543.30 \\ & \mathrm{Monoclinic}, P2_{1}/n \\ & a=7.5989~(17)~\mathrm{\AA} \\ & b=13.185~(4)~\mathrm{\AA} \\ & c=23.380~(5)~\mathrm{\AA} \\ & \beta=91.527~(12)^{\circ} \\ & V=2341.7~(10)~\mathrm{\AA}^{3} \\ & Z=4 \end{split}$$

## Data collection

Rigaku R-AXIS RAPID diffractometer  $\omega$  scans Absorption correction: multi-scan (*ABSCOR*; Higashi, 1995)  $T_{\min} = 0.696, T_{\max} = 0.801$ 19154 measured reflections

#### Refinement

Refinement on  $F^2$   $R[F^2 > 2\sigma(F^2)] = 0.056$   $wR(F^2) = 0.159$  S = 1.06 5320 reflections 331 parameters H atoms treated by a mixture of independent and constrained refinement

### Table 1

Selected geometric parameters (Å, °).

Ni1-N5	2.101 (3)	Ni1-N6	2.119 (3)
Ni1-N4	2.109 (3)	Ni1-N2	2.118 (3)
Ni1-N1	2.117 (3)	Ni1-N3	2.122 (3)
N1-Ni1-N6	92.44 (11)	N5-Ni1-N2	93.71 (12)
N1-Ni1-N2	81.80 (12)	N5-Ni1-N6	81.68 (11)
N1-Ni1-N3	92.13 (12)	N5-Ni1-N4	91.83 (11)
N2-Ni1-N3	93.01 (13)	N5-Ni1-N1	94.76 (11)
N4-Ni1-N1	172.15 (11)	N5-Ni1-N3	170.99 (12)
N4-Ni1-N6	92.74 (12)	N6-Ni1-N2	172.33 (12)
N4-Ni1-N2	93.53 (13)	N6-Ni1-N3	92.26 (12)
N4-Ni1-N3	81.77 (11)		

Table 2		
Hydrogen-bonding	geometry (Å, °	).

$D - H \cdot \cdot \cdot A$	D-H	$H \cdot \cdot \cdot A$	$D \cdots A$	$D - \mathbf{H} \cdot \cdot \cdot A$
$O1W - H1W1 \cdots O1^{i}$	0.85 (3)	1.95 (3)	2.790 (4)	168 (4)
$O1W - H1W2 \cdot \cdot \cdot O2$	0.85 (3)	1.94 (3)	2.781 (4)	169 (4)
$N1 - H1N1 \cdots O6^{ii}$	0.89 (3)	2.38 (2)	3.206 (4)	153 (4)
N1-H1N1···O5 <sup>ii</sup>	0.89 (3)	2.53 (3)	3.326 (4)	148 (4)
$N1 - H1N2 \cdot \cdot \cdot O3$	0.89 (3)	2.11 (3)	2.977 (4)	161 (4)
$N2 - H2N1 \cdot \cdot \cdot O1^{iii}$	0.89 (3)	2.37 (3)	3.175 (4)	150 (4)
N3-H3N1···O5 <sup>ii</sup>	0.90 (3)	2.50 (2)	3.339 (5)	155 (4)
N3-H3N2···O4 <sup>iv</sup>	0.90 (3)	2.24 (3)	3.058 (4)	151 (3)
$N4 - H4N1 \cdots O1W^{v}$	0.90 (3)	2.23 (2)	3.049 (4)	151 (4)
$N4 - H4N2 \cdot \cdot \cdot O6^{v}$	0.90 (3)	2.35 (3)	3.219 (4)	161 (3)
$N5 - H5N1 \cdots O1W^{v}$	0.90 (3)	2.23 (3)	3.071 (5)	156 (3)
$N5 - H5N2 \cdot \cdot \cdot O2$	0.90 (3)	2.10(3)	2.977 (4)	165 (3)
N6−H6N1···O5 <sup>ii</sup>	0.89 (3)	2.22 (3)	3.054 (4)	155 (4)
$N6-H6N2 \cdot \cdot \cdot O6^{v}$	0.89 (3)	2.33 (3)	3.163 (4)	155 (3)

Symmetry codes: (i) 1 - x, 1 - y, 1 - z; (ii)  $\frac{1}{2} + x, \frac{1}{2} - y, \frac{1}{2} + z$ ; (iii) x - 1, y, z; (iv)  $x - \frac{1}{2}, \frac{1}{2} - y, \frac{1}{2} + z$ ; (v) -x, 1 - y, 1 - z.

The H atoms of the NH<sub>2</sub> groups and the water molecule were located in a difference Fourier map and refined isotropically  $[N-H = 0.89-0.90 (3) \text{ Å}, O-H = 0.85 (3) \text{ Å} and <math>U_{iso}(H) = 1.5U_{eq}(N,O)]$ . The other H atoms were placed in calculated positions and were allowed to ride on their parent C atoms  $[C-H = 0.93-0.97 \text{ Å} and U_{iso}(H) = 1.2U_{eq}(C)]$ .

Data collection: *RAPID-AUTO* (Rigaku, 1998); cell refinement: *RAPID-AUTO*; data reduction: *CrystalStructure* (Rigaku/MSC, 2002); program(s) used to solve structure: *SHELXS*97 (Sheldrick, 1997); program(s) used to refine structure: *SHELXL*97 (Sheldrick, 1997); molecular graphics: *ORTEP*II (Johnson, 1976); software used to prepare material for publication: *SHELXL*97.

We thank the National Natural Science Foundation of China (No. 20101003), Heilongjiang Province Natural Science Foundation (No. B0007), and the scientific fund of Remarkable Teachers of Heilongjiang Province, Heilongjiang University.

## References

- Côté, A. P. & Shimizu, G. K. H. (2001). Chem. Commun. pp. 251-252.
- Higashi, T. (1995). ABSCOR. Rigaku Corporation, Tokyo, Japan.
- Johnson, C. K. (1976). ORTEPII. Report ORNL-5138. Oak Ridge National Laboratory, Tennessee, USA.
- Kaschak, D. M., Johnson, S. A., Hooks, D. E., Kim, H.-N., Ward, M. D. & Mallouk, T. E. (1998). J. Am. Chem. Soc. 120, 10887–10894.
- Nakano, S., Sasaki, T., Takemura, K. & Watanabe, M. (1998). Chem. Mater. 10, 2044–2046.
- Rigaku (1998). RAPID-AUTO. Rigaku Corporation, Tokyo, Japan.
- Rigaku/MSC (2002). CrystalStructure. Rigaku/MSC Inc., 9009 New Trails
- Drive, The Woodlands, TX 77381, USA. Sheldrick, G. M. (1997). *SHELXS*97 and *SHELXL*97. University of Göttingen, Germany.
- Shimizu, G. K. H., Enright, G. D., Ratcliffe, C. I., Preston, K. F., Reid, J. L. & Ripmeester, J. A. (1999). Chem. Commun. pp. 1485–1486.
- Venkataraman, D., Gardner, G. B., Lee, S. & Moore, J. S. (1995). J. Am. Chem. Soc. 117, 11600–11601.